No cell is an island: the importance of immune cell doublets in flow cytometry and single cell RNA sequencing

Julie Burel 2019 WACD Meeting 10/28/2019



R

A 74-gene signature of latent TB in memory CD4 T cells



Unexpected detection of monocyte gene signature in sorted CD4⁺ memory T cells from healthy and latent TB subjects





Burel et al, eLife 2019

The monocyte gene signature correlates with a population of CD14+ cells contained within CD4⁺ memory T cells



CD14+ memory CD4 T cells

CD3⁺CD14⁺ cells can be divided in two subpopulations



Imaging flow cytometry analysis of CD3⁺CD14⁺ cells



CD3+CD14^{mid} cells are T cells associated with monocytes fragments

Imaging flow cytometry





Microscopic evaluation of single cell sorts confirm the existence of Tcell:Monocyte complexes

Monocytes

T cells

CD3+CD14^{hi}









CD14 CD3

Ex vivo sample manipulation do not impact on the frequency of T cell:monocyte complexes



The frequency of Tcell:monocyte complexes is stable over time in healthy individuals

5 Healthy individuals PBMC collected 1 week apart



T cell:monocyte complexes can be disrupted by physical and chemical manipulation



Condition	Pre staining treatment	Post staining treatment
No treatment	None	None
EDTA 10mM	None	Final resuspension in staining buffer at 10mM EDTA (instead of 2mM)
RBC Lysis	Incubate 10min at RT with 4mL 1X RBC lysis reagent, wash twice in staining buffer	None
Pipetting up/down	None	Vigorous pipetting up and down for 30sec after final resuspension in staining buffer
Sonication	None	Sonication for 2min 30sec at 42 kHz

CD3⁺CD14⁺ T cell:monocyte complexes present 'immune synapses like' structures



Yunmin Jung

• Upon T cell activation, LFA-1 and ICAM-1 polarize at the immune synapse



Polarization of LFA-1 or ICAM-1 in intact Tcell:Monocyte complexes was observed in 29% (thirty out of 105) doublets analyzed

All T cell subsets can be found in a complex with monocyte



The constant of association Ka as a readout for the likelihood of T cell:monocyte complexes formation



 $T:M K_a = \frac{Freq T:M_{(\% live)}}{Freq T_{(\% live)} * Freq M_{(\% live)}}$

 $T = CD3^{+}CD14^{-} T cells$ M = CD3^{-}CD14^{+} Monocytes T:M = CD3^{+}CD14^{+} K_a = constant of association

Ka of T cell:monocyte complexes varies with immune perturbations



The T cell subset in preferential association with a monocyte varies with the nature of the immune perturbation

Active TB (diagnosis)



Dengue fever (DHF)

100

10

0.1

0.01

 0.00°

T:M K_a



Pertussis

(D3 post boost)

- The Ka of each T cell subset with monocytes follows expected CD4 vs CD8 polarization of immune responses for each perturbation model
- CD4-CD8- double negative T cells display the highest Ka with monocytes, regardless of the immune perturbation

Intracellular & extracellular CD4 > CD8

Intracellular CD4 < CD8

cDex

Virus

OPOS

Generalization to any T cell-APC?

- Tdap boost vaccination, n=10
- Gated on live singlet cells



Days post boost

What are the implications of these doublets 'hiding' within the singlet gate for single-cell technologies?

Flow cytometry analysis of CD3+CD14+ cells



Monocyte canonical markers

T cell canonical markers



CyTOF analysis of CD3+CD14+ cells





Single-cell RNAseq analysis of CD3+CD14+ cells



How can we discriminate cell:cell complexes from singlets?

Imaging flow cytometry parameters can discriminate CD3+CD14+ complexes from singlets

Brightfield parameter



Imaging flow cytometry parameters can discriminate CD3+CD14+ complexes from singlets



Hoechst MFI in CD3+CD14+ cells is the sum of singlet T cells and singlets Monocytes MFIs



CD3 CD14 Hoechst



Non-Imaging flow cytometry parameters can partially discriminate CD3+CD14+ complexes from singlets



- Monocytes
- T cells
- CD3+CD14+ (T:M complexes)

Single-cell RNA sequencing signature of cell:cell complexes

- RNAseq is not absolute quantification such as fluorescence intensity in flow cytometry
- TPM: relative quantity of mRNA



The case of DE cells (Ahmed et al., Cell 2019)



- In type 1 diabetes patients, novel cell population with both T cell and B cell lineage specificities: DE cells
- DE cells encode for a potent T cell autoantigen that might be involved in diabetes pathogenesis





DE cells are expressing both T cell and B cell lineage markers at protein and mRNA level



Our in-house analysis show DE cells in healthy PBMC have a flow cytometry signature of cell:cell complexes





100000

8000

FSC-W



scRNAseq data of DE cells in Ahmed et al. has a signature of cell:cell complexes



GEO accession number: GSE129112



The challenge of comparing imaging and non-imaging flow cytometry gating



Gating strategy to identify DE cells in imaging flow cytometry

DE cells, Imaging flow cytometry (Ahmed et al.)



"Gating strategy involved selecting focused cells on the basis of gradient RMS and <u>an aspect ratio that</u> <u>was consistent with single events</u> and devoid of debris or multi-cellular events (doublets)."

It seems the 'strict' gating was applied in the Ahmed study...

> Dual-expressing cells exist, but they might represent only a small proportion of sorted DE cells!

Our recommendations for cases of suspicious dual-expressing cell populations in flow cytometry

- 1. Check for signatures of doublets in flow cytometry and single-cell RNAseq data
- 2. Perform imaging flow cytometry with appropriate gating strategy
- 3. Direct microscopy analysis of sorted cells

CD14+CD3-Monocytes CD14-CD3+ T cells CD3+CD14+ T:M complexes

CD14 CD3







• 100% CD14+

• 100% CD3+

- 51% CD14+
- 49% CD3+
- <1% CD3+CD14+

Conclusions: one cell can hide another!

- The majority of CD3+CD14+ cells detected in the live singlet gate of human PBMC are not technical artefacts but circulating Tcell:monocyte complexes that reflect *in vivo* immune cell:cell interactions.
- The frequency of T cell:monocyte complexes correlates with clinical parameters and its T cell phenotype varies with the nature of immune perturbation
- This phenomenon might be generalized to any T cell:APC interaction.
- Overall, these results reveal that a significant proportion of cell:cell doublets in flow cytometry are biologically meaningful, and thus, the conventional wisdom in flow cytometry to avoid studying cell doublets should be re-visited
- Thorough analysis checking for doublets signatures need to be systematically reported in single-cell techniques such as flow cytometry or single-cell RNA sequencing





Acknowledgments



HIPC TB team

Bjoern Peters Cecilia Lindestam Arlehamn Mikhail Pomaznoy Mariana Babor Nabeela Khaan Alessandro Sette

Peters & Sette Lab

Ricardo Antunes Daniela Weiskopf Sheridan Martini April Frazier

<u>Ley Lab</u>

Klaus Ley Yunmin Jung

HIPC Sequencing core

Pandurangan Vijayanand Gregory Seumois Shu Liang Sandy Rosales

Bioinformatics core

Jason Greenbaum

Clinical core



Sanford Burnham Prebys MEDICAL DISCOVERY INSTITUTE

Funding



National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

Flow cytometry core

Cheryl Kim

Denise Hinz

Semra Sehic

Chris Dillingham

Matthew Haynes

Zbigniew Mikulski

Microscopy core

Yoav Altman

Clinical collaborators



Bob Gilman Mayuko Saito



